

Multilateral Development Banks and Gender Mainstreaming

Dr. Vikash Sharma

(PhD Sociology) J&K Economic Reconstruction Agency

Corresponding author:

vks_aim@yahoo.co.in

Address: Ward no 12, House no 105

Bishnah (J&K), PIN: 181132

Abstract

This paper examines the evolution and operationalization of gender mainstreaming within two major multilateral development banks—the World Bank and the Asian Development Bank (ADB), situating their approaches within the broader trajectory of global gender policy since the 1995 Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action (United Nations, 1995). Gender mainstreaming, formally articulated in the 1997, ECOSOC agreed conclusions (United Nations, 1997), is conceptualized as a comprehensive strategy that integrates gender perspectives into the design, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of policies and programs across sectors and levels. Moving beyond a narrow focus on women’s participation, it seeks to transform institutional processes to ensure equitable outcomes for both women and men.

The paper traces the intellectual foundations of gender mainstreaming through the progression from “Women in Development” (WID) and “Women and Development” (WAD) approaches to the more relational and structural “Gender and Development” (GAD) framework. It highlights the influence of Ester Boserup’s pioneering work on women’s economic roles and underscores the catalytic role of the United Nations Decade for Women and subsequent global conferences in institutionalizing gender equality within development discourse.

Against this backdrop, the paper analyses the World Bank’s gradual shift from WID oriented initiatives in the 1970s and 1980s to a comprehensive gender mainstreaming framework embedded in operational policies, including OP 4.20 and successive Gender Strategies. Particular attention is given to the framing of “Gender Equality as Smart Economics,” which positions gender equality as both a development objective and a driver of economic growth, while also noting debates around rights-based versus instrumental rationales.

Similarly, the paper explores ADB’s transition from its 1985 policy on the Role of Women in Development to its Gender and Development policy and Strategy 2030 commitments. It examines ADB’s Gender Mainstreaming Categorization System and the integration of Gender Action Plans as mechanisms for institutional accountability and measurable outcomes. The analysis concludes that gender mainstreaming within these institutions reflects both normative commitments to equality and strategic alignment with poverty reduction and inclusive growth agendas. While institutional frameworks have become more structured and measurable, the tension between transformative gender justice and instrumental economic framing remains central to contemporary policy debates.

Keywords: *Multilateral Development Bank (MDB), Gender Mainstreaming, the World Bank (WB), the Asian Development Bank (ADB), Equality, Development*

I. RECONCEPTUALIZING GENDER MAINSTREAMING IN GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT

The incorporation of gender perspectives into development policy acquired formal global recognition at the Fourth World Conference on Women held in Beijing in 1995. This landmark gathering culminated in the adoption of the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action (United Nations, 1995), which remains one of the most influential international policy frameworks on gender equality. Within this framework, gender mainstreaming emerged as a comprehensive strategy aimed at embedding gender considerations into all aspects of development practice. Rather than merely increasing the numerical participation of women in development initiatives, gender mainstreaming seeks to integrate gender concerns into the core design, execution, and evaluation of policies and programs. It extends beyond the discourse of women's rights to reposition women from the margins to the center of development processes. Consequently, it calls for the formulation of targeted interventions that reduce structural gender gaps while advancing the rights and capabilities of women and girls.

In the decades following 1995, states, civil society actors, and multilateral institutions have collectively pursued reforms to dismantle entrenched biases and discriminatory structures affecting women. Progress is evident in enhanced female participation in labor markets, the repeal of discriminatory legislation, and increased attention to violence and harmful practices directed at women and girls. Within this broader trajectory, the gender mainstreaming frameworks of major multilateral development banks—particularly the World Bank and the Asian Development Bank—offer significant insight into how global financial institutions operationalize gender equality across diverse regional contexts.

II. HISTORICAL EVOLUTION OF GENDER AND DEVELOPMENT APPROACHES

The conceptual roots of gender mainstreaming can be traced to earlier intellectual and institutional efforts to address gender inequality within development discourse. During the 1990s, strong institutional backing emerged from organizations such as the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS), United Nations Fund for Population Activities (UNFPA), United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF), United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), and World Health Organization (WHO). However, foundational intellectual contributions date back to Ester Boserup's seminal work, *Women's Role in Economic Development* (1970), which foregrounded women's economic contributions and exposed the structural barriers limiting their productive participation. Boserup emphasized that development strategies must incorporate gender equality to achieve sustainable prosperity. Her work illuminated systemic discrimination and advocated inclusive policy reforms (Raday, 2019).

The global institutionalization of gender concerns was further reinforced by the United Nations Decade for Women (1975–1985) and the successive world conferences held in Mexico (1975), Copenhagen (1980), Nairobi (1985), and Beijing (1995). These events heightened international awareness regarding women's marginalization and mobilized commitments toward eliminating discrimination.

The theoretical evolution of gender in development thought moved through distinct phases. As Beneria and Sen (2016) observe, the "Women in Development" (WID) and "Women and Development" (WAD) paradigms of the 1970s eventually gave way to the more analytically robust "Gender and Development" (GAD) framework in the 1980s. WID, influenced by liberal feminist thought and Boserup's analysis,

sought to integrate women into existing development processes. Critiques of liberal feminism and orthodox development paradigms subsequently shaped the WAD perspective, which emphasized women's productive roles while interrogating broader socio-economic marginalization affecting both men and women in differentiated ways (Sen and Grown, 1987).

The emergence of GAD reflected accumulated critiques of earlier approaches and placed relational gender dynamics at the center of analysis. Rather than examining women in isolation, GAD emphasized the interconnected roles of men and women within economic and social systems. It highlighted women's dual roles as producers and family members and examined how power structures shape gender relations (Jaquette, 1982).

III. CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS OF GENDER MAINSTREAMING

A formal articulation of gender mainstreaming was provided in the 1997 agreed conclusions of the United Nations Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC). It defined gender mainstreaming as the systematic assessment of the implications for women and men of any planned action—whether legislation, policy, or program—across all sectors and levels. The strategy aims to ensure that the concerns and experiences of both women and men are integral to the design, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of development initiatives so that inequality is not perpetuated and equal benefits are realized. The ultimate objective is the attainment of gender equality (United Nations, 1997).

Since then, gender mainstreaming has become a central pillar of the international development agenda. The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development reinforces this position by recognizing gender equality as both a standalone goal and a cross-cutting priority influencing all seventeen Sustainable Development Goals (Panda, 2019). Within this global architecture, multilateral development banks have increasingly integrated gender perspectives into their operational strategies.

IV. THE WORLD BANK'S TRAJECTORY IN GENDER MAINSTREAMING

The World Bank has consistently acknowledged the developmental dividends associated with closing gender gaps, particularly in terms of economic growth, poverty reduction, and improved development outcomes. The institution formally adopted a gender policy framework in 1980 and has progressively refined its approach through successive strategies, including the Gender Strategy (2016–2023), which focused on narrowing disparities in employment, asset ownership, and related domains. Core mainstreaming mechanisms employed by the Bank include gender analysis, gender-responsive budgeting, gender action planning, institutional resource allocation, capacity-building initiatives, participatory decision-making processes, and systematic monitoring and evaluation.

Between 1972 and 1984, internal deliberations within the World Bank led to the establishment of an informal working group dedicated to integrating women into development operations. The declaration of 1975 as International Women's Year by the United Nations coincided with the Bank's publication *Integrating Women in Development*, which popularized the WID terminology within its institutional framework. The appointment of the first WID advisor in 1977 marked a significant step toward institutional engagement with gender concerns. By 1984, the Bank issued its first gender guidance note,

emphasizing women's inclusion within project cycles and identifying them as beneficiaries of development interventions (World Bank, 1979, 1985, 2014).

During the period from 1985 to 1994, gender considerations were increasingly institutionalized. The creation of a dedicated WID unit in 1987 strengthened internal capacity (World Bank, 2005). Under President Barber Conable, gender was identified as a priority area, and funding allocations for WID increased substantially (Murphy, 1995). Research highlighting the economic returns of investing in women gained prominence (Long, 2006), culminating in the Bank's first comprehensive gender policy paper (World Bank, 1994) and a shift toward the Gender and Development framework (Hafner-Burton & Pollack, 2002).

This shift underscored the importance of analyzing women's experiences in relation to men and advanced the human capital rationale for gender investment, linking women's empowerment to productivity gains, efficient resource allocation, social returns, and poverty reduction (World Bank, 1994; Razavi, 1997). In 1994, the renaming of the WID division to the Gender Analysis and Policy Team signaled deeper institutional commitment (Murphy, 1995). Operational Procedures (OP) 4.20 further mandated the integration of gender perspectives into Country Assistance Strategies and across project cycles (Moser, 1993).

The period between 1995 and 2004 marked a decisive consolidation of gender mainstreaming within the Bank. Following President James Wolfensohn's address at the Beijing Conference, gender mainstreaming gained heightened institutional visibility (World Bank, 2005). The establishment of the External Gender Consultative Group in 1996 enhanced policy dialogue (Bamberger & Bischoff, 1996). The creation of the Gender and Development Board within the Poverty Reduction and Economic Management Network strengthened oversight mechanisms (World Bank, 2005). Tools such as sex-disaggregated data collection, gender impact assessments, and monitoring systems reinforced accountability (World Bank, 1997). Gender mainstreaming was framed as instrumental to poverty reduction and economic growth (World Bank, 2002).

From 2005 onward, the Bank advanced the concept of "Gender Equality as Smart Economics" through its Gender Action Plan (2007–2010). The World Development Report 2006 and subsequent publications emphasized gender equality as both intrinsic and instrumental to growth (World Bank, 2006; World Bank, 2012). However, critics argued that this framing prioritized economic returns over rights-based perspectives (Chant & Sweetman, 2012; Chant, 2012).

Over time, the Bank continued to refine its gender strategies through documents such as *Enhancing Women's Participation in Economic Development* (1994), *Integrating Gender into World Bank Operations* (2001–2005), *Gender Equality as Smart Economics* (2007–2010), *Gender Equality, Poverty Reduction, and Inclusive Growth* (2016–2023), and *Accelerate Gender Equality for Sustainable, Resilient and Inclusive Future* (2024–2030). Partnerships with private sector actors were also expanded, exemplified by the 2009 Global Private Sector Leaders Forum attended by major corporations, where President Robert Zoellick emphasized investment in women (World Bank, 2009, 2010).

The Gender Strategy 2024–2030 underscores eliminating gender-based violence, strengthening human capital, enhancing economic opportunities, and supporting women's leadership. It identifies measurable

outcomes linked to poverty alleviation and sustainable development while recognizing innovation, financing, and collective action as drivers of transformation (World Bank, 2024).

V. GENDER MAINSTREAMING IN THE ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK

The Asian Development Bank initiated its engagement with gender issues through the 1985 policy on the Role of Women in Development. By 1992, WID was integrated as one of five key development priorities alongside economic growth, poverty reduction, human development, demographic planning, and environmental sustainability (ADB, 2003). As socio-economic transformations reshaped Asia and the Pacific, ADB revised its framework and adopted a Gender and Development policy reflecting contemporary gender analysis.

ADB operationalizes gender mainstreaming by embedding gender objectives within project design, conducting gender assessments, and implementing tailored interventions to enhance women's access to resources, employment, and skills development. Gender Action Plans are incorporated across planning, implementation, and monitoring phases to ensure structured accountability (ADB, 2003). Institutional strengthening at national and local levels further supports long-term mainstreaming.

Gender-disaggregated indicators form part of ADB's evaluation systems, enabling measurement of gender impacts and refinement of future programming. Gender mainstreaming is positioned as integral to the GAD framework and requires attention to gender considerations throughout the project lifecycle (ADB, 2003).

ADB's Gender Mainstreaming Categorization System, aligned with Strategy 2030, classifies projects into four categories: Gender Equity Theme, Effective Gender Mainstreaming, Some Gender Elements, and No Gender Elements. Since 1998, these categories have served as monitoring tools and were updated in 2009 by the Technical Working Group on Gender Mainstreaming (ADB, 2012). The classification determines the extent to which projects directly or indirectly addresses gender disparities, with Gender Action Plans serving as a central implementation instrument (ADB, 2019, 2021).

Strategy 2030 positions gender equality as a catalyst for inclusive and sustainable regional transformation. ADB aims for at least 75 percent of its operations to support gender equality by 2030. Gender equality is explicitly aligned with Sustainable Development Goal 5 while intersecting with the broader SDG framework (ADB, 2019).

VI. SYNTHESIS AND REFLECTION

Gender mainstreaming has evolved into a foundational strategy within the policy architecture of major multilateral development banks. Since the Beijing Declaration of 1995, global commitments to gender equality have progressively translated into institutional reforms and operational frameworks within both the World Bank and the Asian Development Bank. The World Bank's transition from WID to GAD, and its articulation of gender equality as both a normative and economic imperative, reflects an expanding institutional understanding of gender's developmental significance. The Asian Development Bank's structured categorization system and integration of Gender Action Plans demonstrate a systematic approach to embedding gender considerations across project cycles.

Together, these institutions illustrate that gender mainstreaming is not merely a procedural requirement but a substantive commitment to social inclusion and sustainable development. By integrating gender equality into strategic planning, financing, and evaluation mechanisms, both MDBs underscore that equitable development is inseparable from broader goals of poverty reduction, resilience, and inclusive growth.

References

Asian Development Bank. (2003). *Gender and development*. <https://www.adb.org/documents/policy-gender-and-development>

Asian Development Bank. (2012). *Guidelines for gender mainstreaming categories of ADB projects*. https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/institutional-document/33623/files/_guidelines-gender-mainstreaming-categories-adb-projects.pdf

Asian Development Bank. (2019). *Strategy 2030 operational plan for priority 2: Accelerating progress in gender equality, 2019–2024*. <https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/institutional-document/495956/strategy-2030-op2-gender-equality.pdf>

Asian Development Bank. (2021). *Guidelines for gender mainstreaming categories of ADB projects*. <https://www.adb.org/documents/guidelines-gender-mainstreaming-categories-adb-projects>

Bamberger, M., & Bischoff, J. (1996). *The World Bank external gender consultative group*. World Bank.

Chant, S. (2012). The disappearing of ‘smart economics’? *The World Development Report 2012 on gender equality: Some concerns about the preparatory process and the prospects for paradigm change*. *Global Social Policy*, 12(2), 198–218.

Chant, S., & Sweetman, C. (2012). Fixing women or fixing the world? ‘Smart economics’, efficiency approaches, and gender equality in development. *Gender & Development*, 20(3), 517–529.

Hafner-Burton, E., & Pollack, M. A. (2002). Mainstreaming gender in global governance. *European Journal of International Relations*, 8(3), 339–373.

Jaquette, J. B. (1982). Women and modernization theory: A decade of feminist criticism. *World Politics*, 34(2), 267–284.

Long, C. (2006). An assessment of efforts to promote gender equality at the World Bank. In D. Barker & E. Kuiper (Eds.), *Feminist economics, and the World Bank: History, theory, and policy* (pp. 40–56). Routledge.

Lourdes, B., Berik, G., & Floro, M. S. (2016). *Gender, development, and globalization: Economics as if all people mattered*. Routledge.

- Murphy, J. (1995). *Gender issues in World Bank lending*. World Bank, Operations Evaluation Department.
- Moser, C. (1993). *Gender planning and development: Theory, practice, and training*. Routledge.
- Operations Evaluation Department. (2005). *Evaluating a decade of World Bank gender policy: 1990–99*. World Bank.
- Panda, P. K. (2019). A study on achievement of sustainable development goals from a gender equality perspective. *Global Journal of Nutrition & Food Science*, 1(4).
- Raday, F. (2019). *Economic woman: Gendering inequality in the age of capital*. Routledge.
- Razavi, S. (1997). Fitting gender into development institutions. *World Development*, 25(7), 1111–1125.
- Sen, G., & Grown, C. (1987). *Development, crisis, and alternative visions*. Monthly Review Press.
- United Nations. (1996). *The Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action, adopted by the Fourth World Conference on Women, Beijing 4–15 September 1995*. United Nations.
- United Nations. (1997). *Report of the Economic and Social Council for 1997: General Assembly, Fifty-second session, 18 September 1997*. United Nations.
- World Bank. (1979). *Recognizing the invisible women in development: The World Bank experience*. World Bank.
- World Bank. (1985). *WID: Its evolution and future in the Bank. WID advisor's exit report*. World Bank.
- World Bank. (1997). *Mainstreaming gender in World Bank lending: An update*. World Bank, Operations Evaluation Study.
- World Bank. (2002). *Integrating gender into the World Bank's work: A strategy for action*. World Bank.
- World Bank. (2006). *World Development Report 2006: Equity and development*. International Bank for Reconstruction and Oxford University Press.
- World Bank. (2009). *The World Bank launches private-public initiative to empower adolescent girls (Press release No. 2009/109/PREM)*. World Bank.
- World Bank. (2010). *World Bank Group private sector leaders forum announces new measures to improve women's economic opportunities (Press release No. 2010/084/PREM)*. World Bank.
- World Bank. (2012). *World Development Report: Gender equality and development*. World Bank.

World Bank. (2014). *Women in development and the World Bank Group*. World Bank Group Archives Exhibit Series.

World Bank. (2024a). *World Bank Group gender strategy 2024–2030: Implementation plan*. World Bank.

World Bank. (2024b). *World Bank Group gender strategy 2024–2030: Accelerate gender equality to end poverty on a livable planet*. World Bank.