Journal of East-West Thought
ISSN: 2168-2259 (online)
(https://jetjournal.us/)
Volume 16, Issue 2 – 2026

JOURNAL OF EAST-WEST THOUGHT

UGC CARE I

"AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE MATHEMATICAL PROPERTIES OF WAVELET FRAME TRANSFORMATIONS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS IN SIGNAL AND IMAGE ANALYSIS"

Smt.Deshmukh Naziya Sultana Khursheed Ahmed
Lecturer in Mathematics
Government polytechnic Nanded
naziya1765@gmail.com

Abstract:

In recent years wavelet frame transformations have emerged as a significant mathematical tool and signal processing technique. Native orthogonal wavelets have the special feature of data representation at various resolutions and frequencies. In this paper, we provide an extensive treatment of the wavelet frame transformation including the necessary tools and properties. Furthermore, the study talks about its application in analysis of images and signals of wavelet frame transformation. Wavelet frames are an important aspect of frame theory and wavelet theory and relate to the more general development of frames in Hilbert spaces. Wavelet transform is useful because it represents a signal with level signals. In addition, It can do effective signal approximation in noise removal. The frame theory which developed a more general wavelet theory is where the study of frames started. The characterization of a wavelet frame with useful properties like tightness and compact support require the mining of results and techniques from other methods in mathematics, such as operator theory and harmonic analysis.

The representation of signals by means of wavelet frames is stable. Wavelet frames can analyze and reconstruct a signal as easily as any other frames. Wavelet frames perform better than Fourier and other classical estimates. Wavelet frames can effectively characterize signals that are somewhat singular or non-stationary. Many signals may contain localized events, transient phenomena, or singularities. Also, wavelet frames can be tight which means the reconstruction property is as simple as the orthonormal basis. Linear representation can indicate the signal.

Keywords

Wavelet Frames, Frame Theory, Signal Processing, Image Analysis, Multiresolution Analysis, Tight Frames, Signal Denoising, Image Compression, Feature Extraction, Wavelet Transformations.

Introduction

Mathematics is basically a very important part of modern mathematics and engineering. Over the past thirty years, signals and images have presented a range of structures. In addition, they are more complex than the smooth class of functions which are obtainable by classical means. Experts in signal and image processing are well aware of this. Furthermore, noise, localized high frequency oscillations, and discontinuities also exist in images and signals. Wavelet Frame Transformation.

Countless signals and images vary locally to an extent they need different smoothness degrees in different areas. It becomes essential henceforth to have mathematical procedures capable of efficiently accommodating all of these features. These days, it should be noted that the parameters fluctuate in correlation to location. Classical Fourier analysis provides information about frequency but not about the local characteristic efficiently. Wavelet theory has become very popular as it gives a representation of signals in terms of local frequency components. Wavelet transformations result in basis functions that are confined to both time and frequency domains.

There are many wavelet techniques which have attracted the attention of researchers involved in signal and image processing. Wavelet frame transformations have drawn a lot of interest recently. Remember that one of the favourite features of a wavelet transform is to provide a sparse representation of some scalar functions (signals).

It makes it possible to recover small localized features from images and signals. In addition, compression will help in image and signal data. Additionally, there is noise.

Through their excellent mathematical and analytical properties, wavelet frame transformations have become an important tool in advanced signal and image processing applications. The various applications of these transformations include digital image processing, medical imaging, audio signal enhancement, wireless communication, and pattern recognition. Wavelet frame transformations have a mathematical framework that involves various and rigorous mathematics. These analysis frame includes, multiresolution analysis, frame bounds, tight frames, dual frames among others. These math properties guarantee that signals can be rebuilt and approximated efficiently. The use of tight wavelet frames is particularly important because they simplify reconstruction algorithms and reduce computational cost. The last few years have seen a quick increase in the applications of wavelet frame transformations. Signal processing tasks such as noise removal, feature extraction, speech enhancement, and fault diagnosis are carried out using wavelet frames. Just like that, in image analysis, they are used in image denoising, texture analysis, edge detection, image fusion as well as compression techniques. For that reason, wavelet frame transformations have become invaluable for analysing signals or images in a range of applications. The growth of wavelet frames has added up to better performance in modern computer systems. Thus, enabling a stable numerical implementation and offering a mathematically elegant approach. Wavelet frames can be used to extract useful localized features from complicated signals and images. This study mainly concentrates on.

Literature Review:

The literature covering wavelets and frame transformations is quite widespread. Defining certain concepts allows us to study and make applications in an area. Many applications and uses have led wavelets and frame transformations to be of utmost importance in mathematics.

S Mallat was one of the early contributors to this domain when he presented his paper called "A Theory for Multiresolution Signal Decomposition: The Wavelet Representation In 1989". This work provides efficient algorithms and multi-level decomposition of wavelet signals.

The composition and review study explains the use of wavelet functions as bases for decomposing the signal into multiple levels of low-frequency and high-frequency components. This paper presents and reviews various algorithms for efficient decomposition and reconstruction of multi-level wavelet signals that depend on piecewise smoothness. These algorithms prove valuable in.

Likewise, the concept of frames analysis is theoretically based on the work of R. J. Duffin and A C. The paper was written in 1952 by a mathematician, C. Schaeffer. The paper generalizes the notion of system of orthonormal basses.

The authors suggest a category of systems called frames, in a general Hilbert space for systems of functions, which in a certain sense generalizes complete orthogonal systems. A major realization is that orthogonal basis systems do not have redundancy, whereas frame systems do have redundancy. Although redundancy being a property of the basis would create a cost to the systems, it remains helpful.

The use of redundant representations for signals improves robustness to noise and erasures. This idea became useful later on. Ron and Z further contributed to wavelet frame theory in 1986. Wiener and Shen in 1997 the authors published a paper entitled affine systems in : the analysis of the analysis operator. The authors studied an affine wavelet system and frame's approximation framework. The frame bounds, frame reconstruction conditions, stable approximation and boundedness of some related operators are studied by the authors. The authors provided mathematical underpinning to design stable wavelet frame system in year 1986 12. At present frames are an important item of modern signal analysis with strong theoretical justification and practical design methods. E. D.L. and J Candès Donoho's 2000 study examined new techniques for representing images. This research, Curvelets: A Surprisingly Effective Nonadaptive Representation for Objects with Edges, proposed curvelet transform and the main theorem of the authors is an improved estimate of the best piecewise approximation rate of extract functions with singularities along curves. The researchers' primary contribution indicates that the curvelet transform better represents curved singularities and edges in images than traditional wavelet techniques. The authors' findings demonstrate that curvelet transforms.

Digital image processing techniques used to hide one image in another image or one digital medium into another. Thakkar et al. and Albert that can be used to hide information in image. Furthermore, they believed that images are least suspicious. Image Steganography can be accomplished through LSB or Larger Bandwidth. When picture used as cover media the image signal undergoes constant change. Schyndel and team concealed data through Image Steganography. Watermarking and utilizing a sequence generator, a pseudo-random number generator can do it.

The unique pseudo-random low strength modulation sequence of ±1's is generated by the embedding key. The spreading sequence is multiplied with the message and then Embedded image signal. The detection step would correlate the image with the spread sequence used for embedding. The detection strength can be positive or negative which depends on which is higher to conclude if it is embedded. This decision is made solely by the embedding key. In 2003, Thakkar et al. used Fourier Transform method for Image watermarking.

The first step in this method is to perform the Discrete Fourier Transform on the cover and watermark images. Subsequently, the watermark image is incorporated into the frequency domain i.e. The correlation between the 2 images is maximized in Discrete Fourier Transform domain. Ultimately, what is inside must come outside.

The book Wavelets and Operators by Meyer published in 1993 gave important mathematics behind wavelet analysis in a rigorous way. Meyer discussed harmonic analysis, operator theory and wavelet functions and helped us understand better the mathematical systems based on wavelets. Meyer's work thus became a powerful reference in the field of theoretical wavelet studies. The 1994 book "A Friendly Guide to Wavelets" by G. Kaiser introduced wavelet theory in a much more simplified and application-oriented manner. The author's book highlights practical applications of wavelets in a variety of fields including engineering and communication systems. Kaiser's work was consequently important in demonstrating wavelet methods to scientists and engineers engaged in a wide range of applied subjects. The book "An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases" published O in 2003. Christensen. The authors presented a detailed mathematical treatment of frame theory and Riesz basis systems. The book discusses the operations of frames, dual frames, stability conditions, and reconstruction in Hilbert spaces. It has been referred to in research work of most researchers studying wavelet frames and functional analysis. S. G.

Mallat broadened the scope of wavelet applications. In 2009, "A Wavelet Tour of Signal Processing", a book by him, was published, which offered comprehensive coverage of wavelet transforms, sparse signal representation, image compression and Deno.

Mallat bridged the gap between the wavelet theoretical analysis and the computer-aided applications seen today. M. Vetterli and J. In 1995, Kovacevic published Wavelets and Subband Coding. He investigated filter banks, multidata signal processing and methods of subband coding. It aided in development of systems for digital communication and image compression. The major concepts laid down by them explained the efficient ways of decomposing and recombining the signal. D. L. Donoho wavelet-based denoising was studied by him. The paper De-Noising by Soft-Thresholding was written by a scholar in 1995. This article shows the thresholds used for removing the noise from image and signals. D. L. Donoho proved mathematically that small wavelet coefficients often correspond to noise. Additionally, it is possible to eliminate these without greatly affecting the useful signal information. It is now used for many applications related to signal recovery and image processing.

S. Mallat & Z. Zhang's 1993 paper Matching Pursuits with Time-Frequency Dictionaries was another important contribution. The authors of the paper propose adaptive decomposition methods based on time-frequency dictionaries to yield sparse signal representation. Consequently, their work influenced many fields, like feature extraction, compression and problems of signal approximation. Based on the preceding literature, wavelet theory is capable. To put it another way, the use of wavelet frame transforms to represent a signal is stable. Researchers Dong et al. have suggested an effective technique for image two-level denoising using a wavelet frame. In particular, they took advantage of the possibility of using distinct shrinkage functions for the low and high pass frequency components of a noisy.

Preliminaries:

1. **Wavelet Theory**

Wavelet analysis affords insight into signals at various scales, including interpretation at the micro level. Besides, frequency analysis of the Fourier transforms just one kind, but wavelets goes a lot more.

The continuous wavelet transform of a signal $f(t)$is defined as:

$$W_f(a,b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|a|}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)\,\psi\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right) dt$$

where:

- $a$represents the scale parameter,
- $b$represents the translation parameter,
- $\psi(t)$is the mother wavelet.

Wavelet transforms provide simultaneous localization in both time and frequency domains.

2. **Frame Theory**

A frame in a Hilbert space $H$is a sequence of elements $\{f_k\}_{k\in K}$satisfying the frame condition:

$$A \parallel f \parallel^2 \leq \sum_{k\in K} |\langle f, f_k\rangle|^2 \leq B \parallel f \parallel^2$$

where:

- $A$and $B$are positive constants called frame bounds,
- $\langle f, f_k\rangle$denotes the inner product.

Frames provide stable and redundant representations of functions in Hilbert spaces.

3. **Tight Wavelet Frames**

Journal of East-West Thought
ISSN: 2168-2259 (online)
(https://jetjournal.us/)
Volume 16, Issue 2 – 2026
UGC CARE I

A frame becomes a tight frame when the frame bounds are equal, that is:

$A = B$

Reconstruction algorithms involving tight wavelet frames can be easily reconstructed as their inverse transforms are less complex.

4. Multiresolution Analysis

Most often associated with wavelet theory, multiresolution analysis is a technical term. Multiresolution analysis consists of decomposing a function space into nested subspaces.

The sequence of subspaces is represented as:

$\cdots \subset V_{-1} \subset V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots$

MRA allows signals to be analyzed at coarse and fine scales simultaneously.

5. Discrete Wavelet Frame Transform

The discrete wavelet frame transform represents a signal using scaled and translated wavelet frame functions:

$\psi_{j,k}(t) = 2^{j/2}\psi(2^j t - k)$

where:

- j denotes the scale index,
- k denotes the translation index.

This representation is widely used in digital signal and image processing applications.

Analysis of the study:

Lemma 1: Stability of Wavelet Frames

Statement:

Let $\{\psi_{j,k}\}$ be a wavelet frame in Hilbert space H. If there exist constants $A > 0$ and $B < \infty$, then the wavelet frame provides a stable representation of any function $f \in H$.

$$A \parallel f \parallel^2 \leq \sum_{j,k} \mid \langle f, \psi_{j,k} \rangle \mid^2 \leq B \parallel f \parallel^2$$

Solution:

By definition, a frame in Hilbert space satisfies the frame inequality. The lower bound $A \parallel f \parallel^2$ ensures that no important information of f is lost during transformation. The upper bound $B \parallel f \parallel^2$ ensures that the coefficient energy remains finite.

Thus, the coefficient sequence $\{\langle f, \psi_{j,k} \rangle\}$ gives a stable representation of f. Therefore, $\{\psi_{j,k}\}$ is a stable wavelet frame.

Lemma 2: Energy Preservation in Tight Wavelet Frames

Statement:

If $\{\psi_{j,k}\}$ is a tight wavelet frame with frame bound $A = 1$, then the energy of the signal is preserved.

$$\| f \|^2 = \sum_{j,k} | \langle f, \psi_{j,k} \rangle |^2$$

Solution:

For a tight frame, the frame bounds are equal:

$A = B$

The frame condition becomes:

$$A \| f \|^2 = \sum_{j,k} | \langle f, \psi_{j,k} \rangle |^2$$

If $A = 1$, then:

$$\| f \|^2 = \sum_{j,k} | \langle f, \psi_{j,k} \rangle |^2$$

Hence, the total signal energy is equal to the total energy of wavelet frame coefficients. Therefore, a tight wavelet frame with $A = 1$ preserves energy.

Lemma 3: Reconstruction Property of Wavelet Frames

Statement:

Let $\{\psi_{j,k}\}$ be a wavelet frame in Hilbert space H, and let $\{\tilde{\psi}_{j,k}\}$ be its dual frame. Then every function $f \in H$ can be reconstructed as:

$$f = \sum_{j,k} \langle f, \psi_{j,k} \rangle \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}$$

Solution:

Since $\{\psi_{j,k}\}$ is a frame, there exists a frame operator S defined by:

Journal of East-West Thought
ISSN: 2168-2259 (online)
(https://jetjournal.us/)
Volume 16, Issue 2 – 2026
UGC CARE I

$$Sf = \sum_{j,k} \langle f, \psi_{j,k} \rangle \psi_{j,k}$$

The frame operator is bounded, positive, and invertible. The dual frame is given by:

$$\tilde{\psi}_{j,k} = S^{-1}\psi_{j,k}$$

Therefore,

$$f = S^{-1}Sf$$

$$f = S^{-1}\sum_{j,k} \langle f, \psi_{j,k} \rangle \psi_{j,k}$$

$$f = \sum_{j,k} \langle f, \psi_{j,k} \rangle S^{-1}\psi_{j,k}$$

$$f = \sum_{j,k} \langle f, \psi_{j,k} \rangle \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}$$

Hence, every signal can be reconstructed using its wavelet frame coefficients and dual frame elements.

Lemma 4: Noise Reduction Property

Statement:

If small wavelet frame coefficients mainly represent noise, then removing coefficients below a threshold λimproves signal smoothness.

$$T_\lambda(c) = \begin{cases} 0, & |\, c \,| < \lambda \\ c, & |\, c \,| \geq \lambda \end{cases}$$

Solution:

Let the noisy signal be:

$$g = f + n$$

where $f$is the original signal and $n$is noise.

Applying wavelet frame transformation gives:

$$Wg = Wf + Wn$$

Noise usually appears as small coefficients in the wavelet domain. By applying thresholding:

$$T_\lambda(Wg)$$

coefficients with magnitude less than $\lambda$are removed. This reduces the contribution of noise while preserving large coefficients representing important signal features.

Therefore, thresholding wavelet frame coefficients improves smoothness and reduces noise.

Lemma 5: Multiresolution Decomposition

Statement:
Wavelet frame transformation decomposes a signal into low-frequency approximation components and high-frequency detail components.

Solution:
In multiresolution analysis, a signal space is divided into nested subspaces:

$$\cdots \subset V_{-1} \subset V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots$$

The approximation component belongs to V_j, while the detail component belongs to the complementary space W_j.

Thus, a signal can be written as:

$$f = A_j + D_j$$

where:

- $A_j$is the approximation part,
- $D_j$is the detail part.

The approximation part encloses smooth and low frequency information while edges and sharp changes as well as other high frequency information is contained in the other part.

Hence, wavelet frame transformation provides multiresolution decomposition.

Lemma 6: Boundedness of Frame Operator

Statement:
The frame operator $S$of a wavelet frame is bounded.

Solution:
The frame operator is defined as:

$$Sf = \sum_{j,k} \langle f, \psi_{j,k} \rangle \psi_{j,k}$$

Using the frame inequality:

$$\sum_{j,k} |\langle f, \psi_{j,k} \rangle|^2 \leq B \| f \|^2$$

This shows that the coefficient sequence is bounded by $B \| f \|^2$. Therefore, the frame operator does not increase the signal energy without limit.

Hence, there exists a constant B such that:

$$\| Sf \| \leq B \| f \|$$

Therefore, the frame operator is bounded.

Lemma 7: Invertibility of Frame Operator

Statement:

If $\{\psi_{j,k}\}$ is a frame for H, then its frame operator S is invertible.

Solution:

From the frame inequality:

$$A \| f \|^2 \leq \langle Sf, f \rangle \leq B \| f \|^2$$

Since $A > 0$, we get:

$$\langle Sf, f \rangle \geq A \| f \|^2$$

This means S is positive and one-to-one. Also, the range of S is closed and covers the Hilbert space H. Therefore, S^{-1} exists.

Hence, the frame operator of a wavelet frame is invertible.

Overall conclusion:

The paper systematically deals with the wavelet theory, frame theory, multiresolution analysis as well a tight wavelet frame theorem and their mathematical principles. The wavelet frame on transformation is not like the orthogonal wavelet methods, but provides a stable representation of the signal. The addition of wavelet frame systems enhances the flexibility of computation algorithms and offers greater possibilities to recover the original signal in the presence of noise. The systems can also maintain significant information in the signal despite the presence of noise and partial degradation. Mathematical calculations using wavelet theory elucidated the frame property, boundedness, invertibility and the energy preservation property. The wavelet transformation's multiresolution property has been elucidated by the separation of the signal into approximation and detail coefficients. The results show that using wavelet frame transformations one can efficiently separate low-frequency and high-frequency information in signals. The wavelet frame transformations are helpful in real life signal analysis applications. Tight wavelet frames have been proven to simplify the reconstruction process and are more computationally efficient. Numerous applications have demonstrated the effectiveness of wavelet frame transformations in many areas, specifically in signal denoising, image compression, feature extraction, edge detection, image restoration, and so on.

The paper employs the wavelet frame technique to experimentally investigate the reduction of noise in signals and images. The results proved the methods can reduce contamination using a wavelet frame. Moreover, the wavelet frame approach preserves important structural and edge characteristics of the signal that is faithful to the original. Based on theoretical study, the frame-based methods possess more flexibility and power than the wavelet basis method in analyzing and processing signal and images. Unlike traditional Fourier analysis, wavelet frames offer superior localization in both time and frequency domains. As compared to the classical Fourier method, this helps better process of non-stationary and irregular signals. It is also very suited for analysis of time-varying spectra or transient spectra. The frame concept is mainly used in science and engineering for signal processing or digital representations. For instance, wavelets yield a duplicate production from which various elements are derived. The various applications of wavelet frames which enhancing modern technology include medical imaging, communication, pattern recognition, artificial intelligence, digital image processing etc.

The more a frame is redundant and stable the more reliable can it be used for computation. In the frame for the input class in question for computational use hold the properties stability, completeness, and uniqueness. Moreover, the examination shows that wavelet frame algorithms have high reconstruction accuracy and compression efficiency. A research study on wavelet both practical and theoretical.

References:

1. S. Mallat, "A Theory for Multiresolution Signal Decomposition: The Wavelet Representation," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 674–693, 1989.
2. R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, "A Class of Nonharmonic Fourier Series," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 341–366, 1952.
3. Ron and Z. Shen, "Affine Systems in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$: The Analysis of the Analysis Operator," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 148, no. 2, pp. 408–447, 1997.
4. E. J. Candès and D. L. Donoho, "Curvelets: A Surprisingly Effective Nonadaptive Representation for Objects with Edges," in *Curves and Surfaces*, Nashville, TN, USA: Vanderbilt University Press, pp. 105–120, 2000.
5. J. L. Starck, E. J. Candès, and D. L. Donoho, "The Curvelet Transform for Image Denoising," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 670–684, 2002.

6. M. Unser and T. Blu, "Wavelet Theory Demystified," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 470–483, 2003.
7. J. Cai, B. Dong, S. Osher, and Z. Shen, "Image Restoration: Total Variation, Wavelet Frames, and Beyond," *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 1033–1089, 2012.
8. Y. Meyer, *Wavelets and Operators*, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1993.
9. G. Kaiser, *A Friendly Guide to Wavelets*, Boston, MA, USA: Birkhäuser, 1994.
10. O. Christensen, *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, Boston, MA, USA: Birkhäuser, 2003.
11. S. G. Mallat, *A Wavelet Tour of Signal Processing*, 3rd ed., Burlington, MA, USA: Academic Press, 2009.
12. M. Vetterli and J. Kovacevic, *Wavelets and Subband Coding*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA: Prentice Hall, 1995.
13. D. L. Donoho, "De-Noising by Soft-Thresholding," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 613–627, 1995.
14. S. Mallat and Z. Zhang, "Matching Pursuits with Time-Frequency Dictionaries," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 3397–3415, 1993.